UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451
General Contact Number: 571-272-8500

Mailed: June 30, 2014

Opposition No. 91195948 (parent)

Union Harbour Ltd.

v.

Lifung Trinity Management (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.

Opposition No. 91214498

Lifung Trinity Mmgt. (Singapore) Pte. Ltd.

v.

Union Harbour, Ltd.

Amy Matelski, Paralegal Specialist:

On June 24 2014, Opposer filed a consented motion to consolidate Opposition Nos. 91195948 and 91214498. The Board notes initially that applicant has filed its answer in each proceeding for which consolidation is sought.

When cases involving common questions of law or fact are pending before the Board, the Board may order consolidation of the cases. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a); Regatta Sport Ltd. v. Telux-Pioneer Inc., 20 USPQ2d 1154 (TTAB 1991); and Estate of Biro v. Bic Corp., 18 USPQ2d 1382 (TTAB 1991).

In determining whether to consolidate proceedings, the Board will weigh the savings in time, effort, and expense which may be gained from consolidation, against any prejudice or inconvenience which may be caused thereby.

Consolidation is discretionary with the Board, and may be ordered upon motion granted by the Board, or upon stipulation of the parties approved by the Board, or upon the Board's own initiative. See, e.g., Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management, 27 USPQ2d 1423 (TTAB 1993).

It is noted that the parties to these proceedings are identical, and the issues are similar or related. Accordingly, the motion to consolidate is granted. Opposition Nos. 91195948 and 91214498 are hereby consolidated and may be presented on the same record and briefs. See Hilson Research Inc. v. Society for Human Resource Management, supra; and Helene Curtis Industries Inc. v. Suave Shoe Corp., 13 USPQ2d 1618 (TTAB 1989).

The Board file will be maintained in Opposition No. 91195948 as the "parent case." From this point on, only a single copy of all motions and papers should be filed, and each such motion or paper should be filed in the parent case only, but caption all consolidated proceeding numbers, listing the "parent case" first.¹

Despite being consolidated, each proceeding retains its separate character and requires entry of a separate judgment. The decision on the

consolidated cases shall take into account any differences in the issues raised by the respective pleadings; a copy of the decision shall be placed in each proceeding file.

Upon consolidation, the Board will reset dates for the consolidated proceeding, usually by adopting the dates as set in the most recently instituted of the cases being consolidated. The Board notes and grants opposer's consented motion to suspend and reset trial dates, filed June 6, 2014 in Opposition No. 91214498. Trial dates are reset as set forth below.

Initial Disclosures Due Expert Disclosures Due Discovery Closes Plaintiff's Pretrial Disclosures Plaintiff's 30-day Trial Period Ends Defendant's Pretrial Disclosures Defendant's 30-day Trial Period Ends Plaintiff's Rebuttal Disclosures Plaintiff's Rebuttal Period Ends	6/24/2014 10/22/2014 11/21/2014 1/5/2015 2/19/2015 3/6/2015 4/20/2015 5/5/2015 6/4/2015
---	---

In each instance, a copy of the transcript of testimony together with copies of documentary exhibits, must be served on the adverse party within thirty days after completion of the taking of testimony. Trademark Rule 2.125.

Briefs shall be filed in accordance with Trademark Rules 2.128(a) and (b). An oral hearing will be set only upon request filed as provided by Trademark Rule 2.129.

¹ The parties should promptly inform the Board of any other Board proceedings or related cases within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42, so that the Board can consider whether further consolidation is appropriate.